

W.R.T - MrPreva (video)

Прирост тока [Current gain]

===== {Notes} =====

23 Sep 2019 # 593

Konstantin - Member

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=23&v=XlnN3jk1Hy0&feature=emb_logo

Modeling in Orcad 9.2 showed that this should work.

For the sake of interest, I entered the number of turns of the primary winding 25, and the secondary 24. I got a "current gain" of more than 30. The most interesting thing is that this "coefficient" is higher, the closer the ratio of the number of turns of the secondary and primary windings to 1. The setting criterion is currents primary and secondary windings have a phase shift of 180 degrees and almost the same amplitude. The current consumed from the power source clearly corresponds to the difference in currents in the primary and secondary (where are the currents 30 or more times greater than in the power source ???). The voltage at the load is equal to the voltage of the power source. The transformer core must not be saturated, otherwise it will fail. The frequency can be from 30 kHz to units of MHz (used models of different ferrite cores). The frequency should achieve the indicated phase shift, there is a minimum frequency at which the phase shift becomes almost 180 degrees, a further increase in frequency does not affect the phase shift. The effect is not resonant. Changing the load magnitude 1000 times does not affect the performance of the circuit. Transformer windings are connected in-parallel (similar to Cooper's bifilar).

Of course, this is just a simulation. But it becomes clear how installations like Kapanadze, SR, Armored Train, Chip and the like can work.

Quote from the archive next energy forum:

Re: Taniel Kapanadze and his "Miracle Generator"


"Reply # 447: 26 January 2010, 10:26:36"

SR wrote:

"Donald Smith spoke about the highlight, but I realized when I got an unexpected effect, with different configurations of the secondary winding. Remember its arrangement from a plasma lamp, where it removed voltage from a capacitor? So I accidentally got a similar effect. First I

shook According to the classics, the secondary coil, but in addition to the high voltage discharges, the effect was zero, when the lamp was connected to the secondary coil, the efficiency of the transformer was nullified, even the lamp was not lit. Then I decided to experiment with a bifilar method winding katushki- ZERO effect (if connected by the classical bifilyarke) **and then accidentally connected a lamp between the ends of two unconnected coils and lo and behold! The lamp flashed, without significantly affecting the operation of the transformer. That is, a hybrid of coils and an involuntary capacitor created by him turned out, which collected energy from the field of coils. Then I noticed that you can connect the ends of the coils according to the "Wrong bifilar" scheme, which did not affect the efficiency of the transformer. "**

Noteworthy is shown in bold and actually describes the circuit below.



It turns out that when compensating magnetic fields and using electric induction without a magnetic component some increase is quite possible

Example - Testatika.

Last Edit: Sep 23, 2019 - Konstantin , 23 Sep 2019 # 593

=====

PSL - Well-Known Member

Hello Konstantin !!! A long time ago there were no your forum posts. Your info is worthy of attention. Counter bifilars are used by many in CE generators. I, too, really, without modeling, managed to achieve a powerful shift both in understanding the processes and in practical experiments, and all thanks to Chip's prompts. He developed his six-wire construct using 2mm copper wire without insulation and fluoroplastic as interlayer insulation. The quality factor increased due to the RF dielectric by an order of magnitude compared to PVC. Now, after studying the processes of avalanche ionization, I remodel radically explosive electrostatic pumping. Now with an excellent dielectric there is no problem with the breakdown between

the oncoming layers in the 6-filar. It's too early to give details in detail - I'll finish exs if there is free time and 100% will work then

PSL , September 23, 2019

=====

Fedorov - Well-Known Member

"The idea that radiation is nothing more than the separation of a photon from its electron carrier due to the photon having its " mass "(inertness), its momentum, I borrowed from the authors VI Grigoryev and G.I. Myakishev [8, pp. 135 and 163-166), which I will respectfully quote. Here, in particular, how they write about it:

"The fundamental fact followed from Maxwell's theory: the electromagnetic field has a kind of inertia.

When the charge velocity changes rapidly, the field accompanying it detached from the charge, just as when p [135 8 c] viscous acceleration trains are broken from their seats all loose items (vydel.-VM). detached from the charge start field exist independently in the form of electromagnetic waves. "

It is only worth adding now that the "accompanying field" is nothing more than a de Broglie wave, but those that have detached from the charge and begin to "exist independently in the form of electromagnetic waves" are photons, that is, the same WDBs that have only left their carrier . " <http://www.vmanturov.ru/index.php/articles/2-waves/21-whoisfoton?start=8>

<http://and65261342.narod.ru/forum/10>

Fedorov , Oct 13, 2019

=====

Konstantin - Member

In electromagnetic interactions, we have PEDS as a backlash to our disturbance. In any case, it is up to 100%.

Is there a reverse reaction during electrostatic induction?

On this occasion, a movie from Sergey Deyna, where you can get an induced charge many times with electrostatic induction from a charged body many times . In this case, the initial charge of a charged body is not consumed (there is no direct charge removal, direct contact will destroy the initial charge!).

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=1&v=sCn870sH49U&feature=emb_logo

In fact, Sergey Deyna repeated N. Tesla's radiant energy receiver. In this case, the emitter and receiver should not have direct (galvanic) contact. It turns out that with one electric charge, you

can "for free" get a lot of copies of it and then use them to your advantage. By the way, in the video of the Shark "Tesla Test" a similar removal is demonstrated at a distance with a capacitor charge of the same static.

Actually, this is the main principle of operation of the Kapanadze generator, Sharks, etc. - multiple copying of the electric charge by electrostatic induction without destroying the original .

They had, for example, 1Cl, copied 1000 times and as a result received 1000Cl.

Last Edit: Dec 10, 2019 Konstantin , Dec 10, 2019

=====

PSL , December 19, 2019

Hello, Konstantin! It's like your phrase sounds like a country - “ **multiple copying of an electric charge by means of electrostatic induction without destroying the original** ” ... You can also say “ **multiple copying of a magnetic charge by means of magnetic induction without destroying the original**”, but at the same time in a permanent magnet the MP voltage does not decrease even after 5-10 years. Confirmation is the work of permanent magnet current generators. Having spent two mechanical forces on electrification by friction of the dielectric and moving, as it were, the second lining of the capacitor on removal, the glow of gas in neon. I do not see in this any processes capable of showing super-unity of the process! Or I have something I misunderstand in your interpretations of the process... **Sorry if something is wrong. With great respect to you personally !!!** By the way, Tesla did not work with simple static, but dynamically unipolar and unidirectional. And working with such statics requires taking into account the dynamic properties of the medium (how tricky it was done in Testatics) and just so you can't take the energy from the medium with the wave (vortex) resistance of 377 Ohms (120PI), which many CE searchers don't understand ...

=====

machine "Translated" from Russian.