

David Icke, Arizona Wilder and the Biggest Secret
By Ivan Fraser

The following is a short summary of a minor investigation into aspects of David Icke's latest book and his star witnesses Arizona Wilder and Credo Mutwa. Wilder claims to have been a mind controlled slave who performed Satanic rituals for the Illuminati at which she witnessed many famous people including the Queen Mother, shapeshift physically from a human to a lizard and consume sacrificial victims. She claims that since her programming broke down she has been able to reveal this information to the wider public.

My own role in the Biggest Secret saga was that I was asked by David to help him check spelling and offer any further information to his initial draft of the book. It was following my input that David was introduced to Arizona and heard her revelations, which subsequently became a focal point within the book and used as 'evidence' to support various themes outlined therein.

Having discussed my concerns with David's wife and having sent David e-mails outlining my concerns over errors in his book and a feeling I have that he has been set up with misinformation and been the target of psychic manipulation, over a period from before publication to very recently (September 1999), and as yet having absolutely no feedback from David about any of the major concerns, I decided to publish my findings at the David Icke website forum.

Consequently, I was banned from attending that forum by David Icke.

I wish it to be known that I bear David Icke no malice over this affair and the reason for publishing this information is to seek clarification and also offer information of which most may not be aware. My fears may be unfounded, but I feel that after reading this, you may see they are justified. And if my fears have solid foundation, these issues need urgent clarification, both for the sake of David Icke and his readers.

My suspicions about Arizona Wilder (AW) first manifested the first time I heard of her. Something in me said, 'something isn't right here'. A familiar feeling which usually turns out to be trustworthy, as anyone who has read *Lifting The Veil* knows.

She was not mentioned at all in the draft copy of *The Biggest Secret* (TBS) which I had read. David had not met her yet. When the book was released people started ringing me with questions like, 'is it true about the reptiles', and 'is this stuff about the royal family true'. At which time I replied that I thought it was, based on the fact that the version I had read stated only the theory of the reptilians and that certain of the Royals were involved in Satanic rituals.

Soon I heard about Arizona Wilder. I reviewed the book again, this time the printed version, and asked Linda Icke (the British manager of *Bridge of Love*) to send a copy of the video from which AW's testimony was taken. I was by this time very dubious about AW's statements. Her statements had already overshadowed those of Christine Fitzgerald, Princess Diana's healer and confidante, which were prominent in the version I read and which were not so sensational. However, Fitzgerald's account may have been a 'taster' for what was to come, to ease the way for the far more incredible information which Wilder had to impart.

David stated that he saw his encounter with AW as an amazing synchronicity which endorsed his work in his latest book. How could she have known all of this stuff which tallied so exactly

with TBS? Well, I believe I am not as naïve as David, and I know exactly how she could have known. He was passing copies of the book via post to me and others for editing. It was on computer. Both are simple to access by Intelligence people. We had also spoken over the phone about the reptilian issue. In fact I am shocked that David does not see this as a possibility himself.

This brings I another character who is pivotal to the entire Biggest Secret thesis. It was a contact of David's named Brian Desborough who introduced Arizona to David. Brian is mentioned as a source for a great deal of information in the book, having supplied most of the material upon which David based his idea that Martians came to Earth and founded the Aryan race which the reptilians used as a vehicle to overtake the planet. Brian is also the source of much of the information David had compiled on the Babylonian world, its myths and how they fed into the sinister secret societies.

It was Brian Desborough, a scientist and researcher who had worked for several aerospace companies, who was also looking at the draft copy of the Biggest Secret at the same time as myself; i.e. before David met Arizona Wilder. Therefore the fact that Brian Desborough may be responsible for fundamentally leading the direction of David's research, if not planting much of the basis of the book in David's mind, is obvious. But then to be the same person responsible for giving him a so-called eye-witness to testify to the verity of that information is highly suspicious. Brian also writes articles on mind control and seems very knowledgeable on the subject. Is it possible that he could be directly involved in some way that we are not being told about? Cathy O'Brien testifies to having been taken to military aerospace locations and NASA bases for trauma-based programming.

David met AW and interviewed her for about 2 hours. Some of the questions were quite leading, but her testimony revealed an awful lot if you know what you are looking for. She did indeed endorse a great deal of David's own theses; that is other people's theses (again including many of Desborough's) which David has agreed with. So closely, in fact, you would almost think she had already read the book - or had been given the information beforehand! Or, considering that she claims to be an ex-mind controlled slave, could it be she was pre-programmed? Testimony from Mark Philips and Cathy O'Brien as to how arduous it is to deprogram a CIA slave makes me very suspicious that AW could be considered reliable. She claims that since her programmer died, the programming broke down. I don't believe this can be accepted as such, and external experts would have to be brought in to convince me that if she was controlled to the highest level, that she could be so deprogrammed now as to be considered reliable.

And don't forget how much influence her testimony had to the final version of David's book. It was her and only her who provided all the information about physically shapeshifting royalty, Pindar, the Belgian blood rituals which were allegedly attended by everyone from Laurence Gardner (!!??) to the Queen Mother!

Before I watched the video I dowsed the box with a pendulum and asked questions. I don't usually use dowsing, but considered it may be useful to evaluate this case from as many angles as I could because if I was correct in my gut feeling then I had stumbled upon some very significant realisations. The basic questions were:

Was she going to be telling me the truth? Yes. Wow! I hadn't expected that.

Was she also going to be telling lies? Yes. Ah!

As I thought she was a Multiple Personality, I assumed that she had been set up. So I asked, 'Is she going to deliberately misinform David?' Yes. This made me curious because I thought she would be doing it from programming.

I always like to ask questions I know the answers of now and then to check whether I am interpreting the pendulum correctly.

So I asked a question I was sure of the answer to: 'Is she a programmed multiple?' No. That was absolutely the last thing I expected. I couldn't see how she could be lying to deliberately misinform and not been a set-up multiple stooge.

'Then she isn't a multiple?' Yes.

'So has she ever been programmed as a multiple?' No.

'She is going to lie deliberately in full awareness?' Yes.

Now that was only an initial test and I don't put too much store in my abilities as a dowser. But I tell you my line of enquiry so that you know.

So I watched the video in order to get the full picture.

As I said, her testimony tallied so well with David's that I can see how he was so impressed. He has always stated that he works on cross-referencing testimonies and 'synchronicities' as proof. Well, this time I think the Intelligence people took advantage of that one!

More revealing, however, about AW's 'evidence' was that she demonised the ancient archetypes and occult images throughout. Osiris, Isis, Druids, even Harvest Festival. My own research tells me that there is a very positive side to these things. In fact these things are exactly what the Bible was written to obscure. AW's testimony was overtly Judeo-Christian in its level of gross and blanket demonisation of the occult. Something which I had warned David about doing when I read his draft. Something which he promised to amend for the final version.

But of course, that was before he met AW. And as a consequence of her testimony, it seems, David decided not to balance out his demonisation, but instead increased it. If I was going to set David up to make that mistake, that's exactly how I would have done it!

Then came some obvious bloopers. Having claimed to have been a multiple, she also states she forgets certain names of people. Multiples have photographic memory and perfect recall (see Cathy O'Brien's testimonies). This memory lapse does not happen in recovered multiples.

Her facts were either regularly wrong, or so full of spin that she was hardly credible. Other information is impossible for anyone to verify, conveniently.

I checked with 2 occultists about her description of the demon raising ritual and both stated she had it wrong. Strange for a top level Satanist!

Then she targeted Sitchin and Gardner and said they take part in blood rituals with the Royals where shapeshifting happens. Considering Gardner's books are revealing profound insights into the ancient knowledge, I am not surprised they wanted him demonised. I

personally do not believe this testimony. I do however, think that Sitchin has been less than honest in his research, but again, the statement that he takes part in murderous rituals is more than I will accept without some pretty firm proof. But proof is offered nowhere in relation to AW's testimony.

She states the royal lizards change back to lizards when asleep. Considering how many of them were educated in schools where they also lived amongst hundreds of others in dorms, and how many of them were in the armed forces, you would think someone would have noticed this little thing!

She states that the royal lizards can't stay in human form at the scent of blood and transform and go crazy, ripping into their victims. And in all those years nobody has ever noticed this when they have cut themselves, been around blood, menstruating women etc. Considering they are so public, there is no way they have never been in the vicinity of blood. No signs there though either.

I thought, 'this is just too ridiculous for words. David how the hell are you allowing this nonsense to influence you?'

She regularly inverts important ideas. The ancient Egyptians were anointed with the fat of the dragon - the sacred crocodile. AW states that the royal lizards anoint themselves with the fat of humans. Head lizard is Pindar. How similar is Pindar to ben Pandir, the Talmudic name for Jesus? Reversal at a deep archetypal and psychological level? I think so. And there are more examples too numerous to go into. I think you get the point.

Then strange things started happening as I watched the video. I kept getting psychic impressions of other people overlaid on her face. The first, Ingrid Pitt, whom I associate with Countess Bathory as she played her in the film Countess Dracula. Bathory is reputed to have been kept young by bathing in the blood of virgins.

Then, of all people, Jimmy Carter. I immediately recall David's testimony in 'And The Truth Shall Set You Free', where he describes being possessed by Carter's energy from which he needed healing later. Was that a hint that David had been possessed again?

Then Sigourney Weaver. I had been informed by a seer once that she is a predator vehicle, like many others in the Hollywood scene. That is, she is possessed by the reptoid/Luciferic consciousness. I cannot claim to know that to be true, but it did seem to fit very neatly with the scenario I was experiencing.

Then a face I couldn't put a name to at first. I didn't know where I had seen her. Then later I realised, it was the face of the head alien reptile in the television series V, called Diana. A reptile in human skin who was conning the world to believe that the reptile aliens were on their side.

Was my higher self telling me something here or what?! Was AW a predator vehicle too, and not a multiple at all, like the dowsing suggested?

Again, I wanted more proof.

As I had been a nurse for 9 years, I am quite aware of body language and communication. What I was seeing with AW was someone who was far too inexpressive with her hands and expressions. She seemed to be deliberately avoiding any kind of body language in case she

gave the game away.

I also know a genuine multiple who HAS performed satanic ritual called Patti and whom I had introduced David to years ago, setting him off on the track of this whole Satanic thing. He refers to her in his books 'I Am Me, I Am Free' and 'The Biggest Secret' as 'a contact from Darlington'. I know this woman well and am accustomed to her body language and how she flips between personalities and how she displays quite notable body language if you know what you are looking for. I also have interviewed her priest (an exorcist) and her ex-Harley Street therapist and discussed this subject at length. And I have spoken to Cathy O'Brien and Mark Philips about this, albeit briefly.

To me, AW was not displaying what I expected in terms of body language. Everything I saw told me she was hiding something.

So I contacted the aforementioned expert; a professional therapist, specialising in multiples and victims of abuse, including those from government level paedophile circles. I had introduced her to David a couple of years ago and he mentions her in TBS. She looked at the video and agreed with my analysis. AW was not a multiple, nor seems to have ever been one. Her body language did not reveal this and suggested that she was deliberately lying and trying to keep from revealing this. She also has grave concerns about David, his health, his reptilian thesis, and that he may be under a great deal of pressure and psychic attack from those trying to pervert his work. She agreed that what I suspected was the more likely case - AW is a deliberate set up and her testimony is absolutely not to be trusted.

I also emailed Cathy O'Brien and Mark Philips for a comment from them, but got no response. I later heard from another contact that they were avoiding speaking out about David's latest book.

I later got calls from some very concerned people telling me that AW tripped their inner warning bells so much that they couldn't accept her testimony and for that reason were very dubious about the book.

Others contacted me to say they were seeing negative energies around David.

If AW is a Satanist and has the kind of power she claims - and she would have to be one of the world's most powerful magicians to work for the global elite in their highest rituals - then David is in extreme danger of being infected by her demonic magic if he spends any time with her. If I am wrong, and she is a multiple, but has not recovered, she may be working on David without either his or her knowledge.

She also claims to be part of a church involved in satanic ritual. But she also claims to be out of it. But she also knows enough to warn people about forthcoming rituals. Is she in, or is she out? Who can tell?

How is she still around considering what she is revealing?

How did they let her programming slip?

How come she was performing rituals with the likes of Tony Blair (that means it must be very recent) at a time when her programming was coming apart? I have seen the chaos Patti goes through as she has been regaining coherence over the years. And this is the woman we are expected was performing the highest level rituals in the world? Give me a break!

So I phoned Linda Icke. I told her of my concerns. As well as my concerns over David's historical inaccuracies, lack of occult understanding, and flaws in his reptilian thesis. I said I was concerned that he had stated on radio that he was unusually drained of energy and had been ill for a while. She agreed I may be right and said that David has to face his own mistakes and defend himself in his own way.

Amazingly, when I told her what had transpired over the video and what I had looked into, she told me that David doesn't actually trust AW!!!! 'He's the first to say "you can't trust her,"' she said!!!

So why is he selling a 2-hour interview with the woman?!

Why is he accepting her testimony at all?

Why has he refashioned so much of his work around her claims?

If it hadn't been for her, the text would be far less sensational, less risible and have a far more balanced analysis of the occult.

This single woman has so overshadowed the real information in TBS that I no longer am able to trust a lot of it simply on David's say so. I no longer trust David's previously excellent antenna for getting to the truth.

Has Wilder also done something to David to lower his guard and turn him around? He certainly does not seem to me to be the same man he was. And that is what I am hearing time and time again from other people too.

I then contacted two people whom I know and trust who I consider to be as knowledgeable about the psychic aspects as I believe anybody could be. They both said the video was total nonsense. One (a well known author and Remote Viewing and mind control expert) agreed with my analysis 100%, that she was part of an Intelligence set up.

I showed Patti. She called the video, 'A piece of shit.' But granted, she may not be considered the ideal judge.

I had reports of people hosting David's lectures who were so disturbed by the incredibility of the video that they stated that if it were not for the fact that it was David supporting it, they would never have sold it.

Then consider the Illuminati ritual post on the website, which I refer to in my chapter The Predators (Truth Campaign magazine 14). AW and David warn people about key dates and asked them to send love and light to the reptiles. As I pointed out in the article, this only feeds the problem as they are feeding an illusion - a psychotronic virus. Moreover, on one of the dates mentioned, I attended, but did not partake in, a mass channelling meditation, which was actually a guided meditation which opened the participating crowd up to predator possession.

I feel compelled to see this as further confirmation that the entire AW scenario is part of a wider conspiracy to jointly misinform, psychically attack and control the audience!

Who is influencing David Icke and why? The results of following the book, and his advice certainly seem sinister.

Then we had the solar eclipse, another blood ritual date according to AW. David went to the Great Pyramid in Egypt on that date for the eclipse and made all kinds of superficial comments about what seemed to be going on there. He said people were prevented from going in, that the attendees may be using underground tunnels to get in to do their blood rituals etc. No proof. And everything was adequately explained by Amargi Hiller, an independent journalist who works closely investigating the Giza site, in a message which was posted on David's Forum.

But what did David do?

On this very powerful day, he had people all over the world sending thought energy based upon the most horrific images of blood-spattered tombs and child sacrifice, DIRECTED AT PERHAPS THE MOST POWERFUL ENERGY POINT IN THE WORLD! Did they really need to do a blood ritual with that kind of energy focussed on it? Is this another way that David has been set up to actually do the very job he is trying to expose?

I refer you to my chapter on the predators to see how that may fit into the Illuminati agenda.

Soon after this, David re-emerged from a trip to Africa announcing a new video called The Reptilian Agenda, which is an interview with a Zulu shaman called Credo Mutwa, who is confirming the existence of shapeshifting reptilians.

Credo recounts various ancient Zulu myths about the reptilian race which manipulates mankind, which are taken literally by himself and Icke and seen as remarkable confirmation of the reptile/human-race theory; although Credo's version is that the race actually originated on Earth, left it and returned. Furthermore, the central reptile/Aryan race thesis is fundamentally challenged by Mutwa's assertion that the black leaders of his country, and their bloodlines are from the reptilian race also.

Mutwa also speaks about personal encounters with 'grey' aliens, which he states are servants of the reptilians. He recounts eating the 'grey, rather dry' skin of the greys - which caused an hallucinogenic experience very reminiscent of LSD or similar drug experiences as well as a rash and intense itching. This, again, parallels MKULTRA mind control experiments/implantation experiences described by military abductees. Mutwa elsewhere, rather contradictorily, states elsewhere that 'greys' are actually reptilians with an artificial 'skin'. This contradiction is not challenged by Icke.

Interestingly, this man claims to have been abducted 40 years ago by greys, had something stuffed up his nose into his head etc. In an interview with The Spectrum Newspaper, Mutwa recalls walking down the street one day when a man approached him and quizzed him about knowing him from somewhere, and he recalled a memory about being in the underground facility where he was taken following his abduction, where he was experimented on by 'greys' in ways remarkably consistent with the classic MILAB (Military Abduction) scenario.

Mutwa states in the interview that this exact same thing has happened to many of his people.

The link with Montauk is also interesting. One engineer working on the 'Montauk Project', Preston Nichols, talks of having worked in the project - which included abductions for mind control. He says he remembers working in underground bases where greys and a reptilian creature also were. He recovered the memory of this after being confronted in the street by a man quizzing him on having seen him somewhere before.

This parallel, almost identical, scenario seems to be too coincidental. I think the greys and reptoid were implants in the vein described by Helmut Lammer in his book MILABS. Both tales have all the hallmarks of mind control. Mutwa is therefore, for my money, not a credible witness for David Icke.

David sees Mutwa as ‘proof’ of his thesis in his latest book, and since slightly altered aspects of his views to accommodate Mutwa’s new information. Again, there is severe doubt cast on yet another of David’s main sources of information on the reptilian issue.

However, the alien abduction issue aside, Mutwa seems a very genuine and sincere man, bravely determined to tell the world about what he feels is the plight of his people and their dreadful manipulation by the Illuminati forces. His interview with Spectrum can be read on Icke’s website.

Both Mutwa and Wilder may be consciously absolutely genuine in recounting their experiences according to their memories. But knowing what we do about the long history of Illuminati/intelligence/military abduction and false memory implantation, mind-control etc., for propaganda, subversion and discrediting of witnesses purposes, I believe we need to be extremely careful before buying into what amount to little more than two circumstantial stories that offer next to no proof, given what we know of their backgrounds. One is a self-admitted Illuminati agent, and the other a self-admitted ‘alien abductee’.

I ask you to consider this. Ask you self who benefits from you buying into Wilder’s and Credo Mutwa’s stories? Then consider what David says himself: ‘JUST A COINCIDENCE, NOTHING TO WORRY ABOUT!’

It’s entirely up to you. I am just giving this from my viewpoint.

I may be wrong. If I am, I apologise unreservedly for casting aspersions on those who may be genuine. But I think there are enough doubts to warrant further explanation from someone at Bridge of Love. Because if Wilder’s and Desborough’s claims in The Biggest Secret are essentially true, then it needs to be acted upon as a matter of urgency. But the evidence needs to be as accurate and enforceable as possible. At present I don’t believe that a large amount of the so-called ‘evidence’ is any more than highly speculative, inaccurate and suspicious.

Does anybody have any more information to add to this?

Do David or Brian Desborough have any information to give us to show us we can trust Wilder? Is there anything David could tell us about Brian Desborough’s background to allay any doubts we may have about his integrity, considering his massive influence in the content and direction of The Biggest Secret?

Does anybody have any direct proof of any of the wild claims being made? For example, if Mutwa has captured greys and eaten them, then are there any remains, photographs or corroborating witnesses to present? For, until some actual evidence of this story appears, all we have is a very good draft of a science fiction novel that still needs careful work to iron out the inconsistencies in the plot.

I wonder how many of the loopholes in the story will be closed as the thesis is conveniently ironed out over time, so that it serves as the ‘master-theory’ of conspiracy theories? How many remarkable witnesses will appear who have more pieces of the jigsaw as David strives to

prove that his theory is really the Biggest Secret?

One historical inconsistency of David's book has been closed by Mutwa, as he tells of how the reptilians ordered that no direct image of their real appearance was to be depicted by anyone, otherwise that would mean instant death. This conveniently closes a loophole which David missed in his book, that despite claims by him to the contrary, there are actually very few depictions of reptilian gods in ancient sources. Of course we now know why. Instead, we are told, people depicted them in code, using various ciphers such as fish scaled creatures instead of reptilian scales etc.

But oddly, in an interview with Jeff Rense on his Sightings radio show on 14 October 1999, Mutwa claimed that the figure of Darth Maul - the alien 'baddie' in the latest Star Wars movie - is an absolute dead ringer for one of these 'gods', right down to the colour. As yet, I have not heard of the mass demise of George Lucas or the Star Wars makeup department and design team. Like the above example of the grey flesh vs. artificial skin contradiction, such inconsistencies go unchallenged by Icke and, it seems, by most of his audience. David has an excellent track record of bringing hard to get information into the wider public arena. He has worked extremely hard and endured a great deal of ridicule for courageously speaking out and standing up for what he believes in. It would be 'The Biggest Shame', if his efforts and work were to be overtaken and used by the very forces he seeks to expose.

From The Truth Campaign issue 15

I believed that he was educated and insightful when I read his first book and had no idea that the information that grabbed me had been taken lock

stock and barrel from others. I thought David was special because he was 'in tune' and because he reprinted his own and others' psychic

messages about him and what is going on in the world.

When he released his second book, I accepted his word when he said he was in almost daily communication with ascended masters, Jesus Christ,

Rkaorski, Saint Germain etc. But I know better now.

I accepted that his - what I would have judged otherwise - intolerable behaviour of flaunting his pregnant girlfriend in the face of his wife and

expecting her to accept it because if she didn't she didn't understand unconditional love. I know better now.

I accepted and was stunned by the conspiracy material in Robot's Rebellion. I thought his observations and witticisms were profound. That is,

until I read Behold a Pale Horse by William Cooper which was where most of what I considered astute observations had been taken from, despite

David saying directly that he had come up with, for example renaming the Protocols of the Elders of Zion the Illuminati Protocols to get away from

the anti-Semitic tag. I know better now. I also know that half of Robot's is erroneous, even Cooper himself stated that the alien agenda was a fake

and he had been used as an unwitting dupe to perpetuate it.

And ...and the truth was - and still is in my opinion - one of the best conspiracy books ever written. But I know better now to understand that the

alien links are erroneous, and that it is merely a collation of other people's work, some of whom were not credited. I know now that when David

makes a profound statement, or a good witicism, it is most probably directly from someone else, who deserves the praise for it - and the dollars.

I accepted that he published a book called Lifting The Veil, shortly after I gave him a copy of my own book called Lifting the Veil.

And on up to 1996, even when I was beginning to hear from various people who were consistently telling me that they had provided information

for David, but were surprised at his lack of gratitude, I thought 'ah well he's a busy man and at the forefront of waking people up'. And when

people started telling me that Icke was brash and rude when not in the public eye, that he was egocentric and behaved like he really expected

people to see him as a very important person, I thought they were just misreading a very talented and intelligent man whose spirituality was deep,

and that he needed his style and ego to enable him to carry on and get into the limelight, and into the faces of those who needed to know such

important truth.

But in September 1996, I was with a number of friends of David and Linda Icke - who had been involved in helping him with his work. Even they

didn't like him personally, found him rude, but believed the 'work' transcended any personal feelings. They introduced me to Linda. The very first

thing she said to me was 'David isn't the man you see on stage. He doesn't walk his talk.' During the day she told me that she believed he was

mixing with a crowd that were planting disinformation, and he was vulnerable to it because they were sycophantic type women. She told me that

David had admitted having ego problems but felt he was over them, and asked Linda to tell him if she saw him going down the 'ego road again'.

She said she tried, but he wasn't receptive - he was too concerned with breaking America and his ego had been boosted by the success of ...and

the truth.

I STILL saw David as 'the man' for the job, but by then I had a very different view of him personally. I felt sorry for Linda being treated like a

doormat. I realised that **Icke** had a very distorted opinion of himself, and that this delusion made him vulnerable to his naive view of the material he

was writing. I began to agree with those people I now knew, who had been involved with David from the early days, who almost to a person said

they couldn't stand the man personally, did not like his company, but believed he was the best person for the job.

But then, at that time, we all thought he was a very clever man with amazing powers of observation, and that the material he was writing was

essentially the truth.

So I started to help him out by sending information. I introduced him to the topic of satanic organisations, I introduced him to the first satanic cult

mind control victim, I provided the first health-related material for his then David **Icke**/Bridge of Love newsletter - 2 of which he put his own name

to! I felt confident he would do the research and wasn't afraid of breaking it into wider consciousness. I had no idea he would make such a pig's

ear of the occult-related information and end up being the instigator of one of the biggest mythologies of our age - the reptilian aliens - and that he

would demonise so much that provides the very keys of understanding Creation and the way things are created by the astral level of

consciousness, which is controlled by the very forces operating through satanists and Illuminists, religions etc. I didn't expect him to become the

vehicle by which they could introduce a mass psychomemetic virus into the consciousness of those beginning to wake up. And they achieved

this quite easily because David **Icke** was seen by so many as an intelligent, astute, spiritual, nice, trustworthy, discerning and scholarly and

special kind of person.

When he came up to meet Patti - the cult victim - I was really beginning to lose the veil I had with David. But the work was important. I saw first

hand what those people meant by him being rude. The way he blanked Shona trying to tell him some important information about the occult (she

knew a lot about it - **Icke** didn't, but he just talked her down). And when he waltzed into my house and sat down without invitation then swiped my

cat off the arm of the chair without a blink, I realised that he wasn't spiritual at all. All that unconditional love stuff is a front. He knows how to sell

himself and make people fawn for his material, but when his act ends, the guy is in my view just a rude, arrogant, egomaniac who is struggling with

his own masks and trying to convince himself that he's very special. That cat had more true spirituality in its paw than **Icke** has in his entire body.

So I stopped defending **Icke**, but I still promoted his work. Until The Biggest Secret, that is, when I had really started investigating the references,

and the subjects in earnest, and found so many errors, and so much ignorance of the subjects on his part that I could no longer ignore it. But

having tried to inform him and getting no feedback, but genuinely fearing for his welfare at the hands of organised disinformationists, I posted my

concerns on his forum. The rest is history - I became unceremonially 'dumped' in public for saying things on an uncensored forum of 'the most

controversial ayhtor and speaker in the world'. And never once did he address any of my concerns or correct errors in his research.

Yes, he corrected a typo. is taht a major point you are making? Please consider it in context.

From 'buy the Truth Campaign, the magazine that tells it like it is'. Suddenly it was nothing, and I was a disinformationist attacking **Icke** and his

work for no discernible reason. He plastered every page of his forum with his total disassociation with me and his site still bears The Truth About

The Truth Campaign. Despite informing him that he had misunderstood certain comments that I had made, and had been listening to people who

were clearly part of an agenda to create turmoil on the board, discredit me and cause friction between the 2 of us (even the forum moderator told

me that because she had access to the board data and knew that people were using multiple handles and playing games), he chose to take the

position of self-righteous indignation and personal offence. Hypocrite! How can a man who discredits individuals at the drop of a hat, says he

speaks for truth, encourages people to 'just say it' when they feel they have important things to say, tells everyone to listen to contrasting

opinions, and who openly writes frankly about his personal life choose to be offended by and refuse to listen to conflicting opinions and actively

cancel an uncensored platform?

But when I saw his words about me and The Truth Campaign, I saw just how manipulative and calculated a disinformationist he was. And how

cruel - cold and heartless. And I have seen much more of that since.

Additionally, I was now getting masses of mail and calls about reptilians. I was witnessing the unfolding of the damage his half-researched and

erroneous material was having on people. People were one step from the mental hospital - they were in fear, depressed, feeling helpless, and some

had started hallucinating, hearing hissing voices and being convinced the reps were everywhere, from their friends and family to hiding in the

house. I had to spend quite a bit of time with some to help them through their delusions and happily, it was successful. But I can't be there for

everyone.

At that time I was quite saddened but very very angry. Angry that I had laboured under an illusion for so long, and furious that he would behave

so dishonestly and against everything he publicly projects onto others. I'd needed to experience it first hand to break the last of the spell.

But over the years I have heard from numerous sources how this kind of behaviour is nothing new. It's a common theme that I hear - such as the

opinions of Jordan Maxwell and others who claim he has taken and given nothing and unceremoniously dumped them when they were no longer

useful.

But I still sent him free copies of my magazine. And I saw his style shift slightly towards that in my own work and in the magazine. Our articles

about the illusory nature of reality, the non-physical nature of the 'reptilians' and their illusory nature started creeping into his work. He started

contradicting himself and seemed to be finding it difficult to correlate a cogent definition of what the reptilians were. After exposing the fake et

agenda in my magazine, I noticed he wasn't giving space to ET reptiles anymore. But never once did he ever say he was mistaken. He just

steamrolls ahead, changing his mind about things and gradually moving from one interpretation to another via a process of contradictions. Things

he used to mock others for, he later accepts.

Now he is grossly misrepresenting quantum physics (what the bleep style) and using fiction such as the Matrix to try and convince people that

everything is an illusion and that our beliefs create reality. I see people really starting to dissociate into way out cult consciousness with this new

approach. And getting people to dissociate from reality is EXACTLY what the Illuminists are trying to achieve. There ARE rules and laws of

physics that exist with or without our observations. To tell you that science has proved otherwise is nonsense. Again, he clearly has a very

shallow understanding of that material if he believes that science is proving these things. To create a current dissociated religious cultic mindset,

you have to keep it up to date, so you create modern interpretations, exciting sounding quantum theories, tales of spaceships and ETs etc. to do

it. In ancient times it was the same - they created Christianity by simply rewriting it according to modern references. If they'd had technology then,

Jesus would have been brought into Jerusalem in a car or even ascended in a spaceship, rather than on a donkey and in a supernatural format.

Mitch, you remind me of me when I didn't know most of the above, before I heard of the low esteem that others in the 'circuit' have for him, his

research and his integrity. The biggest fans of Icke are those who read his books and attend his lectures, who usually have less than the requisite

information to judge his material and who don't realise that most of the material was researched by others and collated by David. But those who do

that research tend not to agree with his interpretations of their work. They enjoy the new found attention for a while and say nothing about it, but

in my experiences, those who communicate with me personally do not speak out about their concerns for fear of causing a distraction to 'the

cause'.

Oh you can't criticise the man as he's on our side - an ally. I have seen that position change a number of times once they get the inevitable Icke

brush off.

To me, Icke bears all of the main attributes of a cult leader. I am of the opinion that ALL who open themselves to guidance from external forces are

being manipulated by the unseen archons that influence religion, control the illuminists, Masons, cults, New age channellers, prophets etc. they

are astral souls who did not ascend to Source and are stuck in the astral heavens that they themselves created by their misperceptions whilst

laive. They see themselves as ascended masters and guides and are trying to programme humanity via their influence through the auric field, by

preprogramming souls to reincarnate into new born souls, all with the intention of creating their heaven on earth. They create gurus and messiahs

and prophets - and these people then programme others by dint of their cult of personality. many are absolutely genuine and don't realise that

spirituality comes from within, even though they continually tell people it does.

They lose self-awareness and become convinced they are on a mission to save others, or they cannot ignore the drive to preach to others what is

being fed to them from 'beyond'. The more they heed the voices and the more mythical experiences they have, the more they open up. And their

drive is male intellectual energy. It isn't felt within, it doesn't come from their spirit, it is downloaded and repeated. Their veil of perception makes

them see only what the controllers want them to see. They filter what goes in and then spew it out as a distortion of reality. They don't sympathise

or empathise with others, they intellectualise and create rules and regulations and interpretations to explain things to others. They believe they

have a gift and become impermeable to criticism, finding reinforcement of their opinions by others who parrot back the same misperceptions to

them, and they couldn't care about those who disagree.

The effect that has on followers is submission, and they too get infected by the same consciousness. Cult members are usually replications of

characteristics of their idols. But you disrespect their guru and often you see a radical shift, from parroting the usual 'love' to venomous attacks on

the critic. They become less penetrable to reason and more faithful to the idol, as they see the criticism as proof that the idol is right, and need to

protect them from the heretical critic. They look down on the critic, sometimes hate the critic, and ignore however much reasonable information the

critic provides in his case. They invent and assume things about the critic, because they are not ready to accept that perhaps the critic is honestly

reasonable.

And having communicated with hundreds and hundreds of Icke fans over the last 15 years, as well as cult followers of many variations, I

personally see the same psychic traits in many of them. The same denials, and the same patterns developing.

This is why i have been outspoken about **Icke**. I think people need to know that there are forces at work that control the minds of people on this

planet that are largely unrecognised. They play sides against each other, create distractions, and even create belief systems that address their

very existence, but divert and distort it so much that they have a net for virtually everyone to fall into. They set patterns of thinking in your mind

via key personalities, and this creates a veil that filters perception. By the end of your life you end up in a loop of recycling your soul between

their 'heaven' and this planet. They want your soul, that's all.

When **Icke** hears voices, when he accepts that 'they' have chosen him for a special task - it cannot be coming from within - from Source. That

experience is different - no voices, no face to face with God, or masters, no alien experiences or 'trips' or angelic encounters. That is ALL coming

from the astral sphere in which previous PEOPLE have chosen to become guides, angels, ets, teachers, to guide people through life and into what

they on earth viewed was the TRUTH. But if they knew the truth, they would never have ended up in that state.

Icke's Matrix is real. But **Icke's** portrayal of it and understanding of it is like a naive child's. He has no depth of understanding and insufficient

experience in the field. His veil is strong, and his influence great - because he connects his ignorant level of energy with others of ignorance and

the energy is transferred, auric field breached, and controllers plugged in.

You yourself spoke of feeling the flow at his meetings. Me too - I had to leave the room at one of his lectures because something was desperately

trying to force its way into me. But because I know what is going on, I defend myself - whereas 99.99% of people on this planet have no idea what

is going on. **Icke** is one of them - he gets flashes of it but they always steer those into their own propaganda. They get in first with people like

Icke, so that anyone revealing the truth of it will fall on deaf ears, on those that have already believed they have the answer and have already

plugged into their astral reality, and have already reinforced the Veil of perception.

Mitch, you appear to be suggesting an ulterior motive for my posting at this time. It is

synchronicity that things have become heated here against

Icke. I came to network a new health article. 2 days later I read of the issue occurring with **Icke** removing his association with this forum. I saw a lot

of anger and criticism - and I saw a few minds starting to realise that there is a different side to **icke** that they hadn't appreciated. Then I got an

email advertising the latest newsletter and I decided to post my views on his incessant references to Semiramis. Following that, I did start adding

my experiences with **Icke** to the thread, because I believe people deserve to know and it helps give context and perspective.

I am not here to tell anyone to believe me, I am exercising my right to have my say. I am doing what I believe is right, because I am passionately

concerned that in general **icke** readers tend to get a distorted picture of life through the **Icke** lens, and they deserve to know that there are other

interpretations, as well as errors in his material. Fortunately, I have studied many of these topics and can hopefully shed a bit more light. It is up to

people to interpret what I say in whatever way they wish.

From the feedback here, it would appear that many people are glad to have some of the information because it makes sense to them. Others will

undoubtedly question my motivation - that always happens, as does the conspiracy theories and the trolling and flaming, wherever I post, it

follows.

All I have to say is that everything I have posted is done with the best of intentions and is true to the best of my knowledge.

I am not trying to stop people reading **Icke**, liking **Icke**, or anything else. I just believe that the more information we have, the more reasonably we

can make our choices in life.

I call a spade a spade - **Icke** calls hundreds of people publicly and makes money out of it. **Icke** exposes what he considers to be charlatans, and so

do I - and I think **Icke** is one, and I presented my case. You don't have to accept it. And naturally, you probably are thinking the same or similar

about me. And although such things sadden me, I understand and accept the consequences. All I can do is tell it the way I see it - and have the courtesy to put a lot of time and effort into it, rather than a few loaded one liners.

If you would have the courtesy to provide me with further references for the Australian

Annannu, I will check it out. But as I alluded to earlier, I'm

finding it tough going at the moment, so would like to have some time away from the forum.

Ivan Fraser